Form for Responding to Consultation Questions

Q1. Do you support the direction of introducing a core fund in the manner set out in paragraph 36 (a) to (d) above? ☒Yes ☐ No Comments:
Q2. Do you agree that the CF that is the default fund should be substantially the same in all MPF schemes? ☒ Yes ☐ No Comments:
Q3. Do you agree that it is appropriate that the core fund be based on a standardized default fund? ☒Yes ☐ No Comments: Agree on the standardization of fund and proposed to set a clear guideline for provider for optimum allocation in the investment portfolio to achieve the retirement purpose, which is different from speculative investment.
Q4. Do you agree that the appropriate investment approach of the core fund is one that automatically reduces risk over time as the member gets closer to age 65? If not, what other option would you propose? ☒Yes ☐ No Comments:

Q5. Do you have any preliminary views on the technical issues set out in paragraph 48, in particular whether consistency is required on all aspects of default fund design in all schemes or can some elements be left to the decision of individual product providers?

Comments: Agree that consistency is required.

Q6. Do you agree that keeping total fee impact for the core fund at or under 0.75% is a reasonable initial approach?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments: Let the market decide the cost and government to take the lead for the revamp of MPF structure to make it doable for this fee level

Q7. Do you agree that keeping total expense impact (i.e. FER) for the core fund at or under 1.0% over the medium term is a reasonable approach?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Q8. Do you agree that passive, index based, investment strategies should be the predominant investment approach in the MPF core fund?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Q9. Are there particular asset classes which you think would not appropriately be invested on a passive, index based approach?

Comments: No, just need to be in line with the retirement purpose.

Q10. Do you agree that the name of the core fund should be standardized across schemes? If so, do you have any preference amongst the possibilities set out in paragraph 77 above?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Your preference:

☐ "MPF Core Fund" (having regard to its use as a core investment approach for retirement savings)

☐ "MPF Basic Investment Fund" (emphasizing its design as a basic investment approach for retirement savings)

☐ "MPF Simple Investment Fund" (emphasizing its design as a simple investment process for retirement savings)

☐ "MPF Default Investment Fund" (reinforcing that its primary design is built around the default investment strategy for those who do not, or do not want to make an investment choice in saving for retirement)

☐ "MPF "A" Investment Fund" (or some other term which removes any implications about the nature of the strategy)

Comments: For easy reference to the public

Q11. Do you agree with the general principle for dealing with implementation and transitional issues as set out in paragraphs 78 and 79? XYes No Comments:
Q12. Do you agree with the proposal in paragraph 81 as to how to deal with the transition for existing MPF members of default funds? XYes No Comments:

Information of Respondent

(Please refer to the Personal Information Collection Statement on pages 47 and 48 of this Consultation Paper)

Name (optional):

Organization (where applicable, optional):The Hong Kong Society of Financial Analysts

Address (optional): Room 1802, 18/F, 1 Duddell Street, Central, Hong Kong